Burkholderia thailandensis Isolated from the Environment, United States

Carina M. Hall, Nathan E. Stone, Madison Martz, Shelby M. Hutton, Ella Santana-Propper,
Lora Versluis, Kieston Guidry, Marielisa Ortiz, Joseph D. Busch, Trevor Maness,
Jonathan Stewart, Tom Sidwa, Jay E. Gee, Mindy G. Elrod, Julia K. Petras, Maureen C. Ty,
Christopher Gulvik, Zachary P. Weiner, Johanna S. Salzer, Alex R. Hoffmaster,
Sarai Rivera-Garcia, Paul Keim, Amanda Kieffer, Jason W. Sahl, Fred Soltero, David M. Wagner

Burkholderia thailandensis, an opportunistic pathogen found in the environment, is a bacterium closely related to *B. pseudomallei*, the cause of melioidosis. Human *B. thailandensis* infections are uncommon. We isolated *B. thailandensis* from water in Texas and Puerto Rico and soil in Mississippi in the United States, demonstrating a potential public health risk.

*B*urkholderia thailandensis, a gram-negative bacterium found in the environment, poses a public health threat both because of its ability to cause infections as an opportunistic pathogen and potential misidentification as the more pathogenic *B. pseudomallei*, its closest phylogenetic relative (*1–4*). *B. pseudomallei*, designated a Select Agent by the US Federal Select Agents Program and the causative pathogen of melioidosis, and *B. thailandensis* are found in the environment in some tropical regions, including Southeast Asia and northern Australia. *B. thailandensis*, a Biosafety Level 2 organism not classified as a Select Agent (*3*), has fewer safety restrictions than *B. pseudomallei*, and because it can be handled outside of Biosafety Level 3 laboratories, it is used by research-

Author affiliations: Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, Arizona, USA (C.M. Hall, N.E. Stone, M. Martz, S. Hutton, E. Santana-Propper, K. Guidry, J.D. Busch, P. Keim, J.W. Sahl, D.M. Wagner); US Department of Agriculture, San Juan, Puerto Rico, USA (M. Ortiz, S. Rivera-Garcia, F. Soltero); Texas Department of State Health Services, San Antonio, Texas, USA (T. Maness, J. Stewart, A. Kieffer); Texas Department of State Health Services, Austin, Texas, USA (T. Sidwa); Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, USA (J.E. Gee, M.G. Elrod, J.K. Petras, M.C. Ty, C. Gulvik, Z.P. Weiner, J.S. Salzer, A.R. Hoffmaster)

ers as a surrogate in some experiments (*5*). In laboratory analyses, *B. thailandensis* is challenging to distinguish from *B. pseudomallei* because of their similar biochemical phenotypes, the only difference being that *B. thailandensis* can assimilate L-arabinose (*1,3*). *B. thailandensis* was described after researchers observed reduced virulence in an environmental isolate thought to be *B. pseudomallei*. Subsequent 16S rRNA gene analysis revealed a novel *Burkholderia* species named *B. thailandensis* after the geographic origin of the type strain (*3*).

Human *B. thailandensis* infections are uncommon (*1,4*), especially in the Western Hemisphere. Three previous clinical cases in that region have been reported, all from the southern United States: Louisiana in 1997, Texas in 2003 (*1*), and Arkansas in 2017 (*4*). Environmental sampling related to the 2003 case in Texas and previous environmental sampling for *B. pseudomallei* complex members did not recover *B. thailandensis* (*6*). *B. thailandensis* has been described primarily from the environment in Southeast Asia and Australia (*3,7*) and, recently, Africa (*8*). Occurrence of *B. thailandensis* in the environment in the Western Hemisphere remains poorly understood. We used a systematic approach to detect and isolate *B. thailandensis* from soil and water samples collected in Texas in November 2019 and November 2020 (*9*) and Puerto Rico during December 2018–March 2020.

The Study

We collected 2,540 environmental samples, 370 (280 soil, 80 water, 10 environmental water tank scrapes) from Texas and 2,170 (1,650 soil, 520 water) from throughout Puerto Rico. From the collected samples, we detected *B. thailandensis* DNA in 10 complex broth

samples, 4 from Texas and 6 from Puerto Rico (Appendix, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/29/3/22-1245-App1.pdf). Culturing (*10*) yielded *B. thailandensis* isolates from 5 samples, 1 from Texas and 4 from Puerto Rico. In addition, we isolated *B. thailandensis* from a soil sample collected in Mississippi in July 2022 during a melioidosis cluster investigation (*11*). Further, in 2021, we identified *B. thailandensis* infection in a 4th case-patient in the United States (Oklahoma) (Table). The patient was suspected to have aspirated water after a motor vehicle rollover into water; he died because of multiple complications (Appendix).

We used whole-genome analysis of those 7 isolates (National Center for Biotechnology Information BioProject nos. PRJNA575701, PRJNA818328, PRJNA908850) to place them within a larger phylogeographic context, including other *B. thailandensis* isolates from the United States and other global locations (Table; Figure). Environmental *B. thailandensis* isolates from Texas and Mississippi grouped in the same clade with clinical isolates from Texas and Louisiana and 2 environmental isolates from Asia. The 2021 clinical isolate from Oklahoma was most closely related to the isolate from the 2003 clinical case in Texas. Environmental isolates from Texas and Mississippi differed by more (4,639 single-nucleotide polymorphisms [SNPs]) than environmental isolates from Thailand and Australia (2,671 SNPs); *B. pseudomallei* isolates found in Australia and Asia are more diverse than isolates in the Americas (*10*). We observed little diversity among the 4 *B. thailandensis* isolates from Puerto Rico; total diversity was 62 SNPs, and distance between any 2 isolates was 28–36 SNPs.

Among the isolates identified in our study, in silico multilocus sequence type analysis (https://pubmlst.org/organisms/burkholderia-pseudomallei) revealed novel *ace* allele 106 in the 4 isolates from Puerto Rico and the clinical isolate from Oklahoma, assigning all 5 to novel sequence type (ST) 1772. Novel *gltB* allele 175 was identified in the isolate from Texas, which was assigned to novel ST1785. The isolate from Mississippi, which had a unique combination of alleles, was assigned to novel ST2019.

Conclusions

Our study confirms *B. thailandensis* endemicity in the environment in the United States, albeit of rare occurrence and low abundance, requiring extensive sampling to detect; we found *B. thailandensis* at only 3.7% of collection sites in Puerto Rico and 8% in Texas. However, the pathogen could be present in other unsampled areas in the southern United States and Puerto Rico. Substantial culturing was required to isolate bacteria from PCR-positive samples, suggesting low abundance or its presence being outcompeted by other bacteria. *B. thailandensis* abundance might vary seasonally or on the basis of precipitation levels.

Table. Genomes from global isolates used to generate whole-genome phylogeny in study of *Burkholderia thailandensis* from the environment in the United States*

Isolate	Alternative ID	Country (state/territory)	Sample type (source)	Year	MLST	GenBank accession no.
Bt10009†	165–01_P1_S7	USA (TX)	Environmental (water)	2019	1758	JALGJD00000000
Bt10013†	203–09_P1_S27	USA (PR)	Environmental (water)	2020	1772	JALGJC00000000
Bt9795†	61_10_S54_S1 copy3	USA (PR)	Environmental (water)	2018	1772	WCIR00000000
Bt9920†	89–06_P1_S1	USA (PR)	Environmental (water)	2018	1772	WCIQ00000000
Bt9942†	91–08_P2_S1	USA (PR)	Environmental (water)	2018	1772	WCIP00000000
BtMS2022a†		USA (MS)	Environmental (soil)	2022	2019	SRR22548212
BtOK2021a†		USA (OK)	Clinical (human)	2021	1772	SRR22548210
2.1		Vietnam	Environmental (soil)	2017	696	GCA_002803565.1
82172	34; 2002721621	France	Veterinary (horse)	1982	73	GCA_001555485.1
Bt4	49639	Australia	Environmental	Unknown	699	GCA_000170395.1
BtAR2017		USA (AR)	Clinical (human)	2017	101	GCA_004684955.1
E1		Papua New Guinea	Environmental	1995	669	GCA_001524325.1
E254		Thailand	Environmental (soil)	1992	345	GCA_000765375.1
E264	ATCC 700388	Thailand	Environmental (soil)	1994	80	GCA_003568605.1
E444	E0444	Thailand	Environmental (soil)	2002	79	GCA_000567945.1
E555		Cambodia	Environmental	2005	696	GCF_000179515.1
H0587	2002721121	USA (LA)	Clinical (human)	1997	101	GCA_000567905.1
MSMB59		Australia	Environmental (soil)	2006	669	GCA_001718595.1
MSMB60		Australia	Environmental (soil)	2006	669	GCA_001524345.1
Phuket 4W-1		Thailand	Environmental (water)	1965	80	GCA_000877335.1
TXDOH	CDC3015869; 2003015869	USA (TX)	Clinical (human)	2003	101	GCA_002888425.1
USAMRU Malaysia no. 20	2002721744	Malaysia	Unknown	Unknown	80	GCA_000706745.1

*Phylogeny shown in Figure. MLST, multilocus sequence type; USAMRU, US Army Medical Research Unit; TXDOH, Texas Department of Health.
†Isolated in this study.

Figure. Wholegenome maximum-likelihood phylogeny of global isolates in study of *Burkholderia thailandensis* from the environment in the United States (Table). Tree was constructed with 1,000 bootstrap replicates and rooted with *B. pseudomallei*. Bold indicates *B. thailandensis* genomes generated from isolates collected in this study; other *B. thailandensis* from the Western Hemisphere have epidemiologic information underlined. Scale bar indicates 3,000 SNPs.

We detected *B. thailandensis* in Texas and Puerto Rico only from water samples, although they comprised only 24% of total (water and soil) samples collected at positive sites; all soil samples were negative for *B. thailandensis*. In contrast, in Thailand, *B. thailandensis* is most commonly isolated from soil (*12*). All 4 clinical cases from the United States were associated with traumatic injuries (*1,4*), 3 involving water (*1*), demonstrating the public health risk for disease from traumatic injuries related to contaminated water. This risk is especially relevant in Puerto Rico where *B. thailandensis* was detected within neighborhoods of the largest city, San Juan. Puerto Rico and the southeastern United States are prone to hurricane-induced flooding, which could increase the risk for infection by both *B. thailandensis* and *B. pseudomallei* (*13*).

Although samples were collected from 3 municipalities in northeastern Puerto Rico during a 1-year period, we found little phylogenetic diversity among the isolates, suggesting *B. thailandensis* may be widespread but rare in the environment in Puerto Rico and the result of a single introduction, as previously suggested for *B. pseudomallei* in Puerto Rico (*10*). We found evidence of possible local adaptation in Puerto Rico, which supports this hypothesis. We identified 113 genes unique to *B. thailandensis* isolates from Puerto Rico (Appendix), many of them potentially colocated in genomic islands, a pattern similar to one previously observed among *B. pseudomallei* isolates from Puerto Rico (*10*). Of note, 2 genes common to all *B. thailandensis* from Puerto Rico were present in some *B. pseudomallei* isolates from Puerto

Rico but absent from all other global *B. pseudomallei* genomes (Appendix). In contrast, thousands of SNPs were found among *B. thailandensis* strains in the continental United States (Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Oklahoma, and 2003 clinical and 2019 environmental isolates from Texas). This finding suggests a long-term but cryptic presence of *B. thailandensis* in the southern United States, perhaps in water. It is unknown how long *B. thailandensis* can persist in water, but *B. pseudomallei* can survive in water for ≥16 years without nutrients (*14*).

Our study provides valuable information regarding *B. thailandensis* occurrence and the potential of water to serve as a reservoir and source of infection for this opportunistic pathogen in the southern United States and Puerto Rico, especially following flooding events. Because likely autochthonous melioidosis cases also have been reported from Texas (*15*), Puerto Rico (*10*), and Mississippi (*11*), clinicians should be aware of the potential of misidentifying *B. thailandensis* as *B. pseudomallei* because of their morphologic and biochemical similarities.

Acknowledgments

About the Author

Dr. Hall is a research scientist at the Pathogen and Microbiome Institute in Flagstaff, Arizona, USA, and has conducted research on *Burkholderia pseudomallei* for 10 years. She has conducted environmental surveys for *B. pseudomallei* and other *Burkholderia* spp. in the United States in Arizona, Louisiana, Florida, Puerto Rico, Texas, and the US Virgin Islands, and in Australia.

References

1. Glass MB, Gee JE, Steigerwalt AG, Cavuoti D, Barton T, Hardy RD, et al. Pneumonia and septicemia caused by *Burkholderia thailandensis* in the United States. J Clin Microbiol. 2006;44:4601–4. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01585-06
2. Wu B, Tong X, He H, Yang Y, Chen H, Yang X, et al. Misidentification of *Burkholderia pseudomallei*, China. Emerg Infect Dis. 2021;27:964–6. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2703.191769
3. Brett PJ, DeShazer D, Woods DE. *Burkholderia thailandensis* sp. nov., a *Burkholderia pseudomallei*-like species. Int J Syst Bacteriol. 1998;48:317–20. https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-48-1-317
4. Gee JE, Elrod MG, Gulvik CA, Haselow DT, Waters C, Liu L, et al. *Burkholderia thailandensis* isolated from infected wound, Arkansas, USA. Emerg Infect Dis. 2018;24:2091–4. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2411.180821
5. Morici LA, Heang J, Tate T, Didier PJ, Roy CJ. Differential susceptibility of inbred mouse strains to *Burkholderia thailandensis* aerosol infection. Microb Pathog. 2010;48:9–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2009.10.004
6. Hall CM, Busch JD, Shippy K, Allender CJ, Kaestli M, Mayo M, et al. Diverse *Burkholderia* species isolated from soils in the southern United States with no evidence of *B. pseudomallei*. PLoS One. 2015;10:e0143254. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0143254
7. Ginther JL, Mayo M, Warrington SD, Kaestli M, Mullins T, Wagner DM, et al. Identification of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* near-neighbor species in the Northern Territory of Australia. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015;9:e0003892. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0003892
8. Birnie E, van 't Hof S, Bijnsdorp A, Mansaray Y, Huizenga E, van der Ende A, et al. Identification of *Burkholderia thailandensis* with novel genotypes in the soil of central Sierra Leone. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019;13:e0007402. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007402
9. Hall CM, Romero-Alvarez D, Martz M, Santana-Propper E, Versluis L, Jiménez L, et al. Low risk of acquiring melioidosis from the environment in the continental United States. PLoS One. 2022;17:e0270997. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0270997
10. Hall CM, Jaramillo S, Jimenez R, Stone NE, Centner H, Busch JD, et al. *Burkholderia pseudomallei*, the causative agent of melioidosis, is rare but ecologically established and widely dispersed in the environment in Puerto Rico. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019;13:e0007727. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007727
11. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Melioidosis locally endemic in areas of the Mississippi Gulf Coast after *Burkholderia pseudomallei* isolated in soil and water and linked to two cases—Mississippi, 2020 and 2022 [cited 2022 Jul 27]. https://emergency.cdc.gov/han/2022/han00470.asp
12. Hantrakun V, Thaipadungpanit J, Rongkard P, Srilohasin P, Amornchai P, Langla S, et al. Presence of *B. thailandensis* and *B. thailandensis* expressing *B. pseudomallei*-like capsular polysaccharide in Thailand, and their associations with serological response to *B. pseudomallei*. Plos Neglect Trop D. 2018;12. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006193
13. Hodgetts K, Kleinecke M, Woerle C, Kaestli M, Budd R, Webb JR, et al. Melioidosis in the remote Katherine region of northern Australia. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2022;16:e0010486. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0010486
14. Pumpuang A, Chantratita N, Wikraiphat C, Saiprom N, Day NPJ, Peacock SJ, et al. Survival of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* in distilled water for 16 years. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2011;105:598–600. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trstmh.2011.06.004
15. Cossaboom CM, Marinova-Petkova A, Strysko J, Rodriguez G, Maness T, Ocampo J, et al. Melioidosis in a resident of Texas with no recent travel history, United States. Emerg Infect Dis. 2020;26:1295–9. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2606.190975

Address for correspondence: Dave Wagner, Northern Arizona University, 1395 S Knoles Dr, Flagstaff, AZ 86011, USA; email: dave.wagner@nau.edu

Burkholderia thailandensis Isolated from the Environment, United States

Appendix

Additional Methods

Environmental sampling was conducted in Puerto Rico and Texas using methods previously described (*1,2*) and were adapted from international consensus guidelines (*3*) with additional modifications developed by the Menzies School of Health and Research in Darwin, Australia (*4*). Permission was obtained from landowners to collect soil and/or water samples on their property and, when necessary, permits were received to collect from reserve lands. Briefly, at each site, we collected 10 to 100 soil samples at a depth of 30 cm from holes spaced 2.5 meters apart in one to two 10-hole transects or in a grid for each site as previously described (*1*). Water samples (150 mL) were collected along a linear transect with 2.5 meters between each sampling location, when possible, using one of two sampling approaches: For approach A water samples were collected (20 samples per site in Puerto Rico and 10 samples per site in Texas) approximately 1 meter from the shoreline, avoiding flowing water, whereas for approach B water samples were collected (10 samples per site in Puerto Rico) at five sampling locations with the first sample collected directly on the water's edge and another sample collected 1 meter from the shoreline (*5*). All water samples were filtered the day of collection using a Sartorius water filtration manifold with 0.22 µm nitrocellulose filters, as previously described (*1*). Environmental scrapes were only collected in Texas at one site from a partially empty residential 500-gallon water holding tank. Briefly, the bottom and sides of the tank were scraped, and the scrape contents were placed into a sterile 2 mL screw-cap tube (*2*).

A total of 2,170 environmental samples were collected throughout Puerto Rico during the months of December 2018, February and March 2019, and February and March 2020. These environmental samples consisted of 1,650 soil samples collected from 92 sites and 520 water

samples collected from 42 sites (300 water samples were collected from 20 sites using sampling approach A and 220 collected from 22 sites using sampling approach B). As previously described (*2*), a total of 210 environmental samples were collected in Atascosa County in Texas during November 2019, including 120 soil samples collected from eight sites, 80 water samples from eight sites, and 10 environmental scrapes from one site. Another 160 soil samples were collected from eight sites in Guadalupe, Goliad, and Wilson counties in Texas during November 2020 (*2*). In summary, samples were collected from 159 sites (25 from Texas and 134 from Puerto Rico) with *B. thailandensis* detected from seven sites (two from Texas and five from Puerto Rico) and isolated from five sites (one from Atascosa County in Texas [Bt10009] and four from the municipalities of Carolina [Bt9795], Fajardo [Bt9920], and San Juan [Bt9942, Bt10009] in Puerto Rico).

All collected samples were kept from direct UV exposure and shipped at ambient temperature to NAU for further processing. Upon arrival, samples were stored in the dark at ambient temperature except for the sampling approach B water filters, which were stored at 4°C. To prepare the environmental scrapes for culturing, the 2 mL tubes containing the scrapes were first vortex at high speed for 1 minute and then sonicated for 5 minutes using a Branson sonicator bath set to 70W, 42kHz at room temperature.

All samples were processed for detection and isolation of *Burkholderia* spp. as previously described (*1*), with the following modifications. Each water sample was filtered onto one filter, which was cut in half and only one half was used for the inoculation of 30 mL of Ashdown's broth. The entire contents of the environmental scrape were transferred to the 30 mL of Ashdown's broth. The soil was processed in the same way as Hall *et al*., 2022 (*2*). Also, a *B. thailandensis* specific TaqMan assay (21-thai_all_110625) was used for molecular detection of a *B. thailandensis* DNA signal within DNA extractions, which were performed on 1 mL of the complex Ashdown's broth. Methods for the DNA extractions can be found in Hall *et al*., 2019 (*1*).

The primers and probe used for the *B. thailandensis* specific TaqMan assay (21-thai_all_110625) were developed based on whole genome analysis of 1,130 *Burkholderia* genomes (*6*). The *B. thailandensis* TaqMan specific primers Bt_A_0625_961_F (5'-GTGCGCATCAGTATGGTCGT-3') and Bt_A_0625_1034_R (5'-

TGAGAGGCAAAACGAACGAA-3') and probe Bt_A_0625_1006_RP (5'-FAM-GCATCGCGGCAAGGTTGCTT-MGB-3') were used with the following assay conditions: a 10 μL PCR reaction containing the final concentrations of 1x Applied Biosystems TaqMan® Environmental Master Mix 2.0, 0.2 μM of each primer, 0.1 μM of the probe, 0.66 M of betaine, and 1 μL of undiluted DNA template. PCRs were run on the Applied Biosystems QuantStudio™ 7 (or 12K) Flex Real-Time PCR Systems with the following PCR conditions: 2 minutes at 50°C, 10 minutes at 95°C, and 35 cycles of 15 seconds at 95°C and 1 minute at 63°C. All samples were screened in duplicate.

If a *B. thailandensis* DNA signal was detected in an Ashdown's broth sample, isolation efforts were focused on that sample; culturing methods are described in Hall *et al.*, 2019 (*1*). Once a pure *B. thailandensis* isolate was obtained after at least three isolation streaks, long term glycerol stocks were created and high molecular weight gDNA was obtained using a QIAGEN DNeasy Blood & Tissue kit, following the Gram-Positive protocol in the manufacturer's instructions. Whole genome sequencing was performed as previously described (*1*).

Environmental sampling (soil, water, and plant material) was conducted in Mississippi in July of 2022 using methods previously described (*1*) and adapted from international consensus guidelines (*3*). Samples were shipped to the CDC in Atlanta, GA for culturing. Culturing of the environmental samples occurred as previously described (*1*), except Galimand's (TBSS-50) was used for the enrichment broth instead of Ashdown's broth. Colony morphologies resembling *B. pseudomallei* and *B. thailandensis* were selected as described in the consensus guidelines (*3*). Since the colony morphology of *B. pseudomallei* and *B. thailandensis* are very similar, arabinose agar and a Laboratory Response Network (LRN) species-specific PCR was used to identify the *B. thailandensis* isolate from Mississippi.

The 2021 Oklahoma clinical isolate of *B. thailandensis* was isolated from a 46-year-old male who presented to an emergency department in Oklahoma after a motor vehicle crash with a rollover into a creek near the Tulsa area with suspected water aspiration from the creek. The patient had no travel history and due to multiple complications did not survive. The *B. thailandensis* isolate was sent to the CDC in Atlanta, GA.

Multi-Locus Sequence Type (MLST)

In silico MLST analysis with FastMLST v0.0.15 (*7*) revealed a novel *ace* allele (106) in all four *B. thailandensis* isolates from Puerto Rico and in the 2021 Oklahoma clinical isolate, assigning all five to novel ST1772. A novel *gltB* allele (175) was identified in the single isolate from Texas assigning it to a novel ST1785. The novel ST for Texas was assigned as ST1785 (*ace*=106, *gltB*=5, *gmhD*=9, *lepA*=11, *lipA*=14, *narK*=20, ndh=14), the novel ST for Puerto Rico and Oklahoma were assigned as ST1772 (*ace*=106, *gltB*=5, *gmhD*=9, *lepA*=11, *lipA*=14, *narK*=20, *ndh*=14), and the Mississippi isolate had a unique combination of described MLST alleles and assigned as ST2019 (*ace*=6, *gltB*=5, *gmhD*=9, *lepA*=5, *lipA*=14, *narK*=20, *ndh*=14). Both ST1785 and ST1772 have all the same alleles as ST101, which was assigned to the Arkansas, Louisiana, and Texas *B. thailandensis* clinical samples, except for the *gltB* and *ace* difference described above.

Phylogenetic analyses

Genomes sequenced in this study were assembled with SPAdes v3.13.0 (*8*) using default parameters. All genome assemblies were aligned against *B. thailandensis* E254 (GCA_000765375.1) with NUCmer v3.1 (*9*) and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were called with NASP v1.2.0 (*10*). SNPs that fell within duplicated regions, based on a reference self-alignment with NUCmer, were filtered from all downstream analyses. SNPs were also removed if they were <5 positions apart in the reference genome. SNP distances between genomes was determined with snp-dists v0.8.2 (https://github.com/tseemann/snp-dists) and variable sites were extracted with snp-sites v2.5.1(PMID:28348851). SNPs that had a valid nucleotide call in all query genomes (*n*=44,187 SNPs) were extracted from the outgroup genome, *B. pseudomallei* K96243 (*11*), and concatenated into a single multifasta file. A maximum-likelihood phylogeny was inferred on the concatenated alignment with IQ-TREE v1.6.12 (*12*), using the TVM+F+ASC+G4 substitution model with 1,000 bootstrap replicates (*13*).

Comparative genomics

To identify gene differences in genomes sequenced in this study, all genomes were annotated with Prokka v1.14.6 (PMID:24642063) and the pan-genome was calculated with

Panaroo v1.3.0 (PMID:32698896). The pan-genome was then aligned against all *B. thailandensis* genomes (n=22) with LS-BSR (large-scale blast score ratio) v1.2.3 (*14*) and BLAT v36x2 (*15*). Coding region sequences (CDSs) were identified that had a BSR value ≥0.9 in Puerto Rican isolates an a BSR value <0.4 in all other *B. thailandensis* genomes.

The 113 genes unique to the *B. thailandensis* isolates from Puerto Rico are listed in S1 Table. The two genes unique to all *B. thailandensis* from Puerto Rico, which also are present in some *B. pseudomallei* isolates from Puerto Rico but absent from all other global *B. pseudomallei* genomes, have locus tags MTQ99_16735 and MTQ99_16745.

References

1. Hall CM, Jaramillo S, Jimenez R, Stone NE, Centner H, Busch JD, et al. *Burkholderia pseudomallei*, the causative agent of melioidosis, is rare but ecologically established and widely dispersed in the environment in Puerto Rico. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019;13:e0007727. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007727

2. Hall CM, Romero-Alvarez D, Martz M, Santana-Propper E, Versluis L, Jiménez L, et al. Low risk of acquiring melioidosis from the environment in the continental United States. PLoS One. 2022;17:e0270997. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0270997

3. Limmathurotsakul D, Dance DA, Wuthiekanun V, Kaestli M, Mayo M, Warner J, et al. Systematic review and consensus guidelines for environmental sampling of *Burkholderia pseudomallei.* PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2013;7:e2105. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0002105

4. Kaestli M, Mayo M, Harrington G, Watt F, Hill J, Gal D, et al. Sensitive and specific molecular detection of *Burkholderia pseudomallei*, the causative agent of melioidosis, in the soil of tropical northern Australia. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2007;73:6891–7. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01038-07

5. Stone NE, Hall CM, Ortiz M, Hutton SM, Santana-Propper E, Celona KR, et al. Diverse lineages of pathogenic *Leptospira* species are widespread in the environment in Puerto Rico, USA. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2022;16:e0009959. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0009959

6. Sahl JW, Vazquez AJ, Hall CM, Busch JD, Tuanyok A, Mayo M, et al. The effects of signal erosion and core genome reduction on the identification of diagnostic markers. MBio. 2016;7:7. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00846-16

7. Guerrero-Araya E, Muñoz M, Rodríguez C, Paredes-Sabja D. FastMLST: a multi-core tool for multilocus sequence typing of draft genome assemblies. Bioinform Biol Insights. 2021;15:11779322211059238. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1177/11779322211059238

8. Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, et al. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol. 2012;19:455–77. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021

9. Delcher AL, Salzberg SL, Phillippy AM. Using MUMmer to identify similar regions in large sequence sets. Curr Protoc Bioinformatics. 2003;Chapter 10:Unit 10 3.

10. Sahl JW, Lemmer D, Travis J, Schupp JM, Gillece JD, Aziz M, et al. NASP: an accurate, rapid method for the identification of SNPs in WGS datasets that supports flexible input and output formats. Microb Genom. 2016;2:e000074. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000074

11. Holden MT, Titball RW, Peacock SJ, Cerdeño-Tárraga AM, Atkins T, Crossman LC, et al. Genomic plasticity of the causative agent of melioidosis, *Burkholderia pseudomallei.* Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004;101:14240–5. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0403302101

12. Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Mol Biol Evol. 2015;32:268–74. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu300

13. Kalyaanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong TKF, von Haeseler A, Jermiin LS. ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. Nat Methods. 2017;14:587–9. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4285

14. Sahl JW, Caporaso JG, Rasko DA, Keim P. The large-scale blast score ratio (LS-BSR) pipeline: a method to rapidly compare genetic content between bacterial genomes. PeerJ. 2014;2:e332. PubMed https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.332

15. Kent WJ. BLAT—the BLAST-like alignment tool. Genome Res. 2002;12:656–64. PubMed

Appendix Table. Locus tags for 113 genes unique to *B. thailandensis* isolates from Puerto Rico

Unique locus tag
MTQ99_08690
MTQ99_14570
MTQ99_14575
MTQ99_14580
MTQ99_14585
MTQ99_14590
MTQ99_14595
MTQ99_14600
MTQ99_14605
MTQ99_14610
MTQ99_14615
MTQ99_14625
MTQ99_14630
MTQ99_14635
MTQ99_14640
MTQ99_14645
MTQ99_14650
MTQ99_14655
MTQ99_14660
MTQ99_14665
MTQ99_14670
MTQ99_14675
MTQ99_14680
MTQ99_15800
MTQ99_15805
MTQ99_15810
MTQ99_15815
MTQ99_15820
MTQ99_15825
MTQ99_15830
MTQ99_16730
MTQ99_16735
MTQ99_16740
MTQ99_16745
MTQ99_16750
MTQ99_16755
MTQ99_16760
MTQ99_16770
MTQ99_16775
MTQ99_16825
MTQ99_16830
MTQ99_16835
MTQ99_16840
MTQ99_16845
MTQ99_16850
MTQ99_16855
MTQ99_16860
MTQ99_16865
MTQ99_16870
MTQ99_16875
MTQ99_16885
MTQ99_16890
MTQ99_16895
MTQ99_16900
MTQ99_16905
MTQ99_16910
MTQ99_16920
MTQ99_16925
MTQ99_16930
MTQ99_16935
MTQ99_17175
MTQ99_17180
MTQ99_17185
MTQ99_26310

Unique locus tag
MTQ99_26315
MTQ99_26320
MTQ99_26325
MTQ99_26330
MTQ99_26335
MTQ99_26340
MTQ99_26345
MTQ99_26350
MTQ99_26355
MTQ99_26360
MTQ99_26375
MTQ99_26380
MTQ99_26385
MTQ99_26395
MTQ99_26445
MTQ99_26455
MTQ99_26460
MTQ99_26465
MTQ99_26470
MTQ99_26475
MTQ99_26480
MTQ99_26485
MTQ99_26750
MTQ99_26755
MTQ99_26760
MTQ99_26765
MTQ99_26770
MTQ99_26775
MTQ99_26785
MTQ99_26790
MTQ99_26795
MTQ99_26800
MTQ99_26805
MTQ99_26810
MTQ99_26815
MTQ99_26820
MTQ99_26825
MTQ99_27230
MTQ99_27235
MTQ99_27240
MTQ99_27480
MTQ99_27490
MTQ99_27495
MTQ99_28550
MTQ99_28630
MTQ99_28635
MTQ99_28640
MTQ99_28645
MTQ99_28650